

LEGAL_AWARENESS FROM 2020 IN AUGUST 2022 50 EMAIL DATED 24 10 2021 TO CJJ TO TAKE SUO MOTU COGNIZANCE FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT BY DM AND SP KALAHANDI WHERE THEY DID NOT COMPLY WITH OHC ORDER IN WP (C)PIL 19827 OF 2017 WHEN AN INTERIM STAY IS GIVEN IN SLP 21329 OF 2020 AND THE MATTER IS SUB JUDICE IN SC

To

Hon'ble Chief Justice of India

Copy to

Hon'ble President of India

Law and Justice Minister Ministry of Law and Justice

Respected Sir

With due respect I request you to take suo motu cognizance in the matter of contempt of court by DM and SP Kalahandi Odisha where they did not comply with Orissa High Court Order in WP (C)PIL 19827 OF 2017 when an interim stay is given in SLP 21329 OF 2020 and the matter is sub judice in Supreme Court.

An Interim order of Supreme Court staying High Court judgment does not obliterate binding effect of High Court judgment according to Calcutta High Court as reported by Meera Emmanuel in BAR AND BENCH on 21 04 2021. The Calcutta High Court was recently prompted to clarify the effect of an interim order passed by the Supreme Court staying a High Court judgment (Deific Abode LLP v. Union of India & Ors).

It is pertinent to mention that the Asst Registrar dealing with SLP 21329 OF 2020 did not rectify my name which is Jayanti Das wife of Kumuda Bandhu Das in the order copy of Orissa High Court Order in WP (C)PIL 19827 OF 2017 but wrongly mentioned as Jayanti Devi son of Kumuda Bandhu Das in the SLP 21329 OF 2020 which was filed on affidavit by the petitioner and his advocate in Supreme Court.

After my repeat reminders and objections filed with the dealing Asst Registrar Supreme Court; the defect in my name is not corrected and notice served on me by the dealing Asst Registrar in the above SLP is bearing a wrong name and so prima facie the notice is not legally binding on me.

I have made a formal complaint about the negligence and willful professional misconduct of Asst Registrar dealing with SLP 21329 OF 2020 with Secretary/Registrar General of Supreme Court regarding not removing the defect in my name after several reminders and not verifying and comparing the documents before placing before the Hon'ble Bench and issuing notice to the Respondent #1 in SLP 21329 OF 2020 whose order in Orissa High Court in WP (C)PIL 19827 OF 2017 is challenged.

The above mentioned two cadre officers have violated AIS rule, followed appeasement politics as panchayat elections in Odisha is ahead, allowed animal slaughter which is banned by the order in

Orissa High Court in WP (C)PIL 19827 OF 2017 on public road in public place in public gaze before the mass media which is not religious place although they had deployed approximately 15 platoons of police and imposed 144.

Hon'ble Supreme Court had already passed judgement dated 08/11/2019 in SLP(C) 25280 of 2019 in the matter of State of Tripura Vs Subhas Bhattacharjee & others which says that (if) any slaughter of animal is done for the purpose involved in the petition, it shall be done in an area which is set up in accordance with law. The municipal authority shall ensure such compliance.

Under the circumstances the cadre officers had independently taken the decision to allow the mass slaughter on public road in public place in public gaze before the mass media which is not religious place although they knew fully well that the matter is pending in Hon'ble Supreme Court as notice of SLP 21329 OF 2020 was also served on them.

The DM and SP Kalahandi Odisha have lied willfully that since 2016 there were no animal slaughter during Chhatar Jatra in Bhawanipatna and due to COVID 19 there will be no animal slaughter and no congregation of huge crowd will be allowed in press release, print media and internet.

Willfully these two cadre officers have put the credibility and stature of both Hon'ble Orissa High Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court at stake and are trying to shake the confidence and trust of common man in judiciary for their own benefit by appeasing their political masters as the panchayat elections in Odisha is ahead and for their post retirement benefits as it has become a trend in Odisha and I can give several examples in due course received under RTI in WP(C) 1096 of 2013 filed in Hon'ble Supreme Court from Page 44 to 149 (copy attached).

I request you to take suo motu cognizance in the above matter in the interest of justice and to preserve the trust of ordinary common man in judiciary by taking appropriate stringent action against the two cadre officers who dared to challenge the authority of judiciary.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

Jayanti Das

1 attachment